- The Cross of Christ 2
- The Righteousness of God Romans 3:21-22
- La croix de Christ et la justice de Dieu (Romains 3:21–22)
- Das Kreuz Christi und die Gerechtigkeit Gottes (Römer 3:21–22)

RÉSUMÉ

Il arrive qu'un médecin émette un diagnostic incorrect et cela peut avoir de graves conséquences. Ce n'est pas le cas de l'apôtre Paul. Il ne se presse pas pour nous amener à la question de la foi en Christ, mais il pose des fondements solides et sûrs. Nous avons là quelque

chose à apprendre de lui.

« Mais maintenant » (3.21) . . . Après avoir parlé de notre corruption et de notre culpabilité devant Dieu, Paul dit avec soulagement: « Mais maintenant . . . », Christ est venu! La croix représente le grand tournant de l'histoire humaine. Remarquez l'indication temporelle véhiculée par ces mots: « Mais maintenant ». L'œuvre de Christ est un fait historique et non une pure théorie.

1. L'Évangile est théocentrique. Nous sommes en train de devenir de plus en plus centrés sur nous-mêmes, y compris dans les Églises évangéliques: la grande relation je-tu tend à être remplacée par la relation je-moi. Il n'en est pas ainsi avec Paul. Il écrit: « Mais maintenant, la justice de Dieu a été manifestée ». Il place Dieu au centre. Le salut commence avec Dieu.

Je pense que l'expression « justice de Dieu » se réfère à la fois à l'attribut de Dieu qu'est la justice et à la justice qui nous est imputée. L'attribut de Dieu qu'est sa justice comporte à la fois une justice passive et une justice active: il concerne à la fois les relations divines au sein de sa Personne et ses relations avec nous, son peuple. Cependant, le mot 'justice' est aussi employé dans un sens forensique ou légal. Paul vient de parler de notre culpabilité devant le juge, en considérant que le monde entier a des comptes à lui rendre. Maintenant, il parle d'un Dieu qui acquitte le coupable. Le salut est trinitaire et théocentrique.

2. Le but de la loi.

Paul écrit: « sans la loi a été manifestée la justice de Dieu, attestée dans la loi et les prophètes ». On peut d'abord définir la loi comme tout le système judaïque d'observances cérémonielles et cultuelles tel qu'il est institué dans l'Ancien Testament. Il s'agit de la loi vue comme un ensemble de commandements ou comme exigeant la production d'œuvres.

Calvin a défini trois usages de la loi. Premièrement, elle convainc de péché, deuxièmement, elle réfrène le mal chez l'incroyant, troisièmement, et c'est là son usage principal, elle fait connaître au croyant la pensée et la volonté de Dieu. Il est important de réaffirmer ces choses à l'heure actuelle, pour éviter deux erreurs, d'une part l'antinomisme, et, de l'autre, l'idée selon laquelle la première alliance aurait été une alliance des œuvres qui aurait échoué. Dans les deux cas, on perd de vue le but principal de la loi.

La loi annonçait prophétiquement la venue de la grâce en Jésus-Christ. Jean parle littéralement d'une grâce à la place d'une grâce (1.16). En effet, lorsqu'on comprend bien la loi en tenant compte de sa fonction prophétique, on la voit comme une grâce donnée au travers de la loi divine. La grâce plus grande qui est venue par Jésus-Christ a remplacé la grâce de la loi. Il y a trop

peu de prédicateurs de nos jours qui connaissent l'enseignement de la loi dans l'Ancien Testament. Cela est pourtant nécessaire à la compréhension de l'œuvre de Christ.

- 3. Le salut est plus que le pardon.
 Le message du pardon est glorieux et
 merveilleux, mais la portée de la croix
 ne se réduit pas à cela. Il y a aussi la
 justice de Dieu et cette justice imputée
 par Dieu. Cet enseignement est source
 d'encouragement et de force: notre
 relation avec lui est rétablie et notre
 statut en sa présence est celui d'hommes
 et de femmes qui sont, non seulement
 acceptés, mais aussi accueillis
 favorablement, à bras ouverts.
- 4. Par la foi en Jésus-Christ pour tous ceux qui croient. Il nous faut définir la foi, car la confusion règne à ce propos. Je conçois la foi comme comportant trois éléments. Premièrement, la foi implique la compréhension de la vérité. En Ép 4.17–24, Paul utilise de nombreux vocables appartenant au domaine cognitif. La foi comporte le savoir de certaines choses au sujet de Jésus-Christ. Deuxièmement, la foi est soumission à cette vérité. On se souvient de la manière dont Saül de Tarse s'est soumis à Christ: « Qui es-tu, Seigneur ? »

Troisièmement, la foi est confiance: elle consiste à s'en remettre à la miséricorde et à la compassion de Dieu en Christ.

La foi selon ces trois aspects est produite en nous par le Saint-Esprit de Dieu, car, sans lui, nous sommes morts dans nos péchés.

5. Le péché et la gloire divine (v. 23). Alors que la forme verbale « tous ont péché » est un aoriste, le verbe suivant « et sont privés » est un présent, ce qui implique que nous demeurons dans cet état. On peut remarquer le lien établi ici entre la gloire de Dieu et le péché. J'ai rencontré au moins huit façons de rendre compte de cette connexion unique. Leon Morris écrit: « Les commentateurs tendent à importer leur propre compréhension dans ce texte ». J'ai ma suggestion personnelle. Le langage que Paul va employer est celui du sacrifice, qui nous oriente indubitablement vers la pensée de la croix. Or Jean, dans son Evangile, considère la mort de Christ—avec tout ce qu'elle a d'infamant—, comme une glorification! Voilà qui constitue pour les Juifs une pierre d'achoppement, et qui est une folie pour les païens: la gloire est que Dieu était en Christ pour réconcilier le monde avec lui-même, le Dieu juste était à l'œuvre pour obtenir la justice pour les coupables.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Ärzte stellen von Zeit zu Zeit falsche Diagnosen, die tragische Konsequenzen nach sich ziehen können. Auf Paulus trifft dies nicht zu! Er ist keineswegs übereilt in seinem Anliegen, uns zum Glauben an Christus zu führen, sondern legt zunächst eine solide und sichere Grundlage. Wir sollten von seinem Beispiel lernen.

Römer 3,21: 'nun aber . . .' Auf die furchtbare Bloßstellung unserer Niederträchtigkeit und Schuld vor Gott folgt der befreiende Aufruf 'nun aber . . .'. Christus ist gekommen! Das Kreuz ist der Wendepunkt der Menschheits geschichte. Die Worte 'nun aber . . .'

implizieren ein zeitliches Geschehen, d.h. das Werk Christi ist eine historische Tatsache, nicht eine Theorie.

1. Das Evangelium ist theozentrisch. Wir alle, die evangelikalen Gemeinden eingeschlossen, werden immer egozentrischer; es geht uns nicht mehr in erster Linie um die Ich-Gott-Beziehung, sondern nur noch um uns selbst. Paulus jedoch beginnt mit den Worten 'nun aber ist . . . die Gerechtigkeit Gottes offenbart'. Gott steht im Mittelpunkt; die Erlösung geht von ihm aus. Der griechische Text liest wörtlich 'die Gerechtigkeit Gottes' (Luther übersetzte dies mit 'die Gerechtigkeit, die vor Gott

• The Righteousness of God Romans 3:21-22 •

gilt'). M. E. meint der Begriff beides, die göttliche Gerechtigkeit als Eigenschaft Gottes als auch die Gerechtigkeit, die, von Gott kommend, uns zugerechnet wird. Die Gerechtigkeit Gottes als göttliche Eigenschaft bezieht sich sowohl auf die 'iustitia passiva' als auch auf die 'iustitia activa' und verweist somit auf die Beziehungen innerhalb der Gottheit als auch auf Gottes Beziehung zu seinem Volk. Darüber hinaus jedoch wird der Begriff Gerechtigkeit auch in einem forensischen (juristischen) Sinne gebraucht. Paulus hatte aufgezeigt, daß wir vor dem göttlichen Richter schuldig sind—die gesamte Welt ist Gott verantwortlich. An dieser Stelle nun verweist Paulus auf einen Gott, der die Schuldigen freispricht. Obwohl die Erlösung eine trinitarische ist, ist sie doch auch zugleich theozentrisch.

Der Zweck des Gesetzes. 'Nun aber ist ohne Zutun des Gesetzes die Gerechtigkeit Gottes offenbart, bezeugt durch das Gesetz und die Propheten.' Mit dem Gesetz ist zunächst einmal das System des jüdischen Zeremonialgesetzes gemeint, wie wir es in den Schriften des AT finden. Calvin unterschied drei Anliegen des Gesetzes: erstens, von Sünde zu überführen, zweitens, die Nichtwiedergeborenen vor Sünde zu bewahren, und drittens, die Gläubigen über den Willen Gottes zu informieren. Diese Einsichten sind auch heute noch von Bedeutung, indem sie uns vor zwei Irrtümern bewahren können, nämlich den des Antinomismus einerseits sowie der Auffassung, wonach der erste Bund ein Bund der Werke war und als solcher versagt hat, andererseits. Beide Irrtümer vermögen nicht den bedeutendsten Zweck des Gesetzes zu erkennen, nämlich den, auf prophetische Weise auf die zukünftige Gnade in Christus hingewiesen zu haben. In Joh 1,16 heißt es wörtlich 'Gnade anstelle von Gnade' (charin anti charitos). Das Gesetz, richtig verstanden (einschließlich seiner prophetischen Funktion), war Gnade, und zwar eine durch das göttliche Gesetz vermittelte

Gnade. Die größere Gnade aber, die durch Christus kam, ersetzte die Gnade des Gesetzes. Nur wenige Prediger von heute sind mit dem alttestamentlichen Verständniss des Gesetzes vertraut. Dies jedoch ist erforderlich, wenn wir Christus und sein Werk verstehen wollen.

3. Erlösung beinhaltet mehr als nur Vergebung. So großartig und wunderbar die Botschaft von der Vergebung ist, das Kreuz verweist auf mehr noch, nämlich sowohl auf die Gerechtigkeit Gottes als auch auf die Gerechtigkeit, die, von Gott kommend, uns zugerechnet wird. Diese Botschaft ist eine Quelle der Ermutigung und Stärkung: unsere Beziehung zu Gott ist wiederhergestellt worden und unser Status vor ihm ist nun der von Männern und Frauen, die nicht nur angenommen, sondern willkommen geheißen und von Gott liebevoll in die Arme geschlossen worden sind.

4. Durch den Glauben an Christus gilt sie allen, die glauben. Es ist notwendig, den Begriff 'Glauben' zu definieren, da er oft mißverstanden wird. M. E. vollzieht er sich in drei Schritten. Erstens ist Glaube ein Verstehen der Wahrheit, wie die in Eph. 4,17-24 verwendeten kognitiven Begriffe deutlich machen. Wir müssen bestimmte Fakten über Jesus Christus wissen, bevor wir glauben können. Zweitens bedeutet Glaube, sich dieser Wahrheit zu unterwerfen. Man erinnere sich, wie Saulus von Tarsus sich Christus unterwarf: 'wer bist du, Herr?' Drittens beinhaltet Glaube Vertrauen. Vertrauen bedeutet, sich ganz auf das Erbarmen und Mitleid Gottes in Christus zu werfen. Alle drei Stadien des Glaubens werden vom Heiligen Geist Gottes initiiert. Ohne ihn sind wir tot in unseren Sünden.

5. Sünde und die Herrlichkeit Gottes (V. 23). Die Zeitform des Verbs 'ermangeln' drückt aus, daß wir fortgesetzt der Herrlichkeit Gottes ermangeln. Auffallend ist hier die verblüffende und einzigartige Kombination von Herrlichkeit und Sünde, für die mir mindestens acht Erklärungen begegnet sind. Leon Morris bemerkt in diesem Zusammenhang, daβ 'die Ausleger dazu tendieren, jeweils ihre eigene Bedeutung in die Passage hineinzulesen.' Ich möchte hier einen eigenen Vorschlag anbringen. Paulus wird im Anschluß an

unsere Stelle Opferterminologie verwenden und uns unzweideutig zum Kreuz weisen. Johannes seinerseits in seinem Evangelium verstand den Tod Christi—all seiner Schande ungeachtet—als Herrlichkeit. Den Juden ein Ärgernis und den Heiden eine Torheit, besteht die Herrlichkeit darin, daß Gott in Christus die Welt mit sich versöhnt hat; der gerechte Gott handelte in Gerechtigkeit, somit Gerechtigkeit für die Ungerechten erwirkend.

I have a close friend who just two weeks ago received very bad news from his doctor. A young man with two sons still at school, for ten years he has been treated for an illness he didn't have—through a wrong diagnosis. The truth was he had a brain tumour which has now been discovered but which is now inoperable. How would you feel if your doctors made that kind of wrong diagnosis? Not so Paul. He has been demonstrating to us beyond any possible argument that we stand in the presence of the Judge of all the earth as guilty sinners, our mouths shut, all our words silenced, deserving nothing but eternal punishment. However, unlike my friend's doctors, Paul has exciting news of how we guilty sinners may be saved from condemnation!

Now I am speaking to you as one who has been in the pastoral ministry for over thirty years. I have become persuaded that too many preachers can be in too much of a hurry to bring people to the point of commitment to Jesus Christ. Any building is only as good as the foundation on which it is built. Likewise, we need a solid foundation when we are seeking to build the life of God in the souls of men and women. Paul has been preparing that foundation by cutting away those false ideas we have about ourselves until he is down to the bedrock of the reality of our condition in the sight of God.

Some of you may train those who are going to be preachers. Teach them to observe the apostle's method and to learn from it. Teach them to prepare the ground well and in their preaching to demonstrate from the Word of God that we are all accountable to God, and that we have no means of repaying our massive debt! Teach them to be thorough and to follow closely the great apostle's method.

Romans 3:21 begins: 'But now . . .' From that devastating verdict of our guilt before God. Paul turns to the passion of his life and work: Jesus Christ has come. Jesus Christ has lived and has died and his Cross is all Paul's boasting. He is now turning our minds to the meaning of the Cross and the work accomplished there. So with immense relief, having been broken by his exposure of our vileness before God we hear him cry, 'But now!' There is a shining hope! There is a way! The way of the Cross. The Cross is nothing less than the turning point in human history. 'But now!'There is another implication here which we should not miss. It is a point the apostle never tires of making in his exposition of the Gospel. He is implying in these two little words that what he is setting out is not some theory he has dreamed up. This is not a new philosophy, a new school of thought he is propounding. 'But now' means he is referring to historical fact. Jesus Christ has been born a Man, has lived and has died and been raised from the dead. The Cross stands as an historical event! We must not miss that implication of the temporal force of the words 'But now'. 1 So we come to the main burden of the verses for today.

1. The Gospel is theocentric

Recently I spent an evening with good friends who wanted to tell me about the exciting things happening in their church. As I listened, my heart sank, as it became increasingly apparent to me that this lively congregation was wandering further and further away from the teaching of Scripture. As gently as I could, I suggested certain things they were saying were distorting and changing the meaning of the NT. They brushed my comments to one side and said, 'We don't bother about things like that. We're not

purists like you!'

I can only speak for Scotland and Ireland, the two countries in which I have ministered. I have to say that thinking and worship and singing and preaching are becoming less and less theocentric. Instead of the 'I-Thou' relationship, we have the 'I-me' relationship: how I feel, whether I am comfortable with what's going on, whether it appeals to me, whether it suits my needs at this moment. Not so Paul's theology of the Cross! He begins, 'But now a righteousness from God . . . has been made known.' God is at the centre. Salvation begins with God. The Saviour is the Mediator between God and man. Christ brings us to God. It was God who so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son. And now, in the Cross of Christ, it is the righteousness of God which has been made known.

Think about this phrase translated in the NIV as 'a righteousness from God'. You will know that many of the commentators want to translate it as the NIV does, 'a righteousness from God', understanding the phrase here to refer to a righteousness God imputes to sinners. Personally, I prefer to understand this phrase with those commentators who read it as referring both to the attribute of God's righteousness, and then, on the grounds of that attribute, to the righteousness which he imputes to sinners.²

I don't want to enter into the debate that has been going on for some years now about the relationship of righteousness to law and whether here Paul is referring to the means of 'getting in' to the covenant (as E. P. Sanders has argued³). That is not my purpose. Rather I will restrict myself to a brief comment on the meaning of the

word 'righteousness' which I understand Paul here uses with a dual connotation: first referring to our relationship with God and his relationship with us.

I hold that δικαιοσυνη is predominantly a term of relationships. 4 Our God is righteous towards himself and therefore in his activity is righteous. (We may distinguish these two as 'iustitia passiva' and 'iustitia activa'.) This means he is also righteous towards us his wayward creatures. On the one hand, in his righteousness he must deal justly and severely with the guilty. On the other hand, because of his righteousness, he has provided in his mercy—also an aspect of his righteousness—a righteousness which is available to the unrighteous! That is, he has provided us with the means by which unrighteousness can be put away so that we enter into a right relationship with him.

However, righteousness—complex concept that it is—has a second meaning for it cannot only be understood of restored relationships. It is also used in a legal sense in this passage of our standing before a holy God. Paul's argument requires it to be so. He has spoken of our guilt before the Judge—the whole world is accountable to God. Now he speaks of a God who acquits the guilty!

Salvation, then, is theocentric. Trinitarian, yes—how could it not be? But nonetheless, essentially theocentric. It is *God* with whom we have to do. It is *God* the first Person of the Trinity who initiates our salvation. The great theme of this whole discourse of Romans is 'the righteousness of God'. In our thinking, our writing, our preaching, our worship and praise, let us never forget that, and let us maintain this biblical emphasis of

theocentricity.

2. The purpose of the law

Paul writes that 'the righteousness of God, apart from law, has been made known, to which the Law and Prophets testify'. We must first define law. I take it Paul uses the word in the sense of the whole Judaistic system of ceremonial

observances and worship laid down in the OT Scriptures, law therefore 'as commandment or as constraining to and producing works'. This is clearly Paul's meaning, following as it does on his comments on law in the previous verse where he has stated that 'no one will be declared righteous by observing the law'. However Paul qualifies this comment by hastening to remind us the Law testified to this righteousness of God. It is necessary, therefore, to remind ourselves of the purpose of the Law.

According to Calvin, the Law had a threefold purpose. First, 'while it shows the righteousness alone acceptable to God, it warns, informs, convicts, and lastly condemns, every man of his own unrighteousness . . . The law is like a mirror. In it we contemplate our weakness, then the iniquity arising from this, and finally the curse coming from both. . . .' Thus it constrains us to seek for grace.

'The second function of the law is this: at least by fear of punishment to restrain certain men who are untouched by any care for what is just and right unless compelled by hearing the dire threats of the law.' The unregenerate need 'a bridle to restrain them from so slackening the reins on the lust of the flesh as to fall clean away from all pursuit of righteousness.'

'The third and principal use, which pertains more closely to the proper use of the law, finds its place among believers in whose hearts the Spirit of God already lives and reigns.' It will enable them to learn the nature of God's will for them and confirm their understanding of that will. It will also rouse them to obedience and draw them back from the slippery paths of transgression.

Calvin gives us a good start in seeking to understand how the Law (and Prophets) testify to the righteousness of God. If we accept Calvin's comments then here is a powerful incentive to Christians to continue to study and to teach the Law. Men and women need it to warn, inform, convict, and condemn them. The profligate need it to restrain them. Believers need it to reveal the will of God to them.

Such insights into the purpose of the law are as important today as they have ever been on account of two common errors which are being widely taught in many churches. First we have a re-emergence of antinomianism so that in many pulpits a cavalier and uninformed attitude to the holy law of God is being conveyed to Christian people. The argument goes that because Christ is the end of the law (and 'end' is understood solely as 'termination' and not as 'fulfilment'), the only remaining law is love—and of course, as with much erroneous teaching, there is an element of truth there. But without the restraints and clear teaching of the will and mind of God contained in the law, this law of love tends to have few boundaries and can lead to an acceptance of standards which are far removed from biblical teaching.

A second error is the teaching which says that the first covenant was a covenant of works intended to provide right-eousness before God for those who kept it. However, that first means of righteousness failed, though apparently, in theory at least, it might have succeeded if only men and women had been more obedient. Its failure was why God sent his Son to make a second (and this time a successful) attempt to provide a means of attaining righteousness.

Not only are both strands of teaching woefully wrong, but both fail to grasp the purpose of the law. Both fail to recognise in what ways the law is still 'holy, righteous and good' (Rom. 7:12). Perhaps, however, the most serious flaw in such teaching is the failure to grasp the prophetic function of the law in pointing to the promised righteousness of God in Christ crucified and risen. It is to that prophetic aspect of the law that Paul is pointing us here—'to which the Law and the Prophets testify'.

The law fulfils a prophetic function in that it contains the promise of our redemption in Christ. The moral law can be taken as a portrait of Christ, for he fulfilled it perfectly. The ceremonial law is most certainly foreshadowing Christ through the whole sacrificial system and the approach to God in the worship of the Tent of Meeting. Recall how Moses was instructed to make everything in the Tent of Meeting according to the divine instructions, for all the gifts, sacrifices and worship offered in the earthly sanctuary were 'a copy and shadow of what is in heaven' (Heb. 8:5). Even the civil law holds the promise of the divine righteousness to be made available through Christ the King and Head of the Church, for when it was given the inescapable implication was that Israel was a theocracy ruled by God as King.

Our English NIV—probably one of the most widely used among Christian people in the English speaking world—has some passages which are unfortunate in their translation, to say the least. Perhaps the worst of these is in John 1:16: 'From the fullness of his grace we have all received one blessing after another.' It really does make the fullness of Christ's salvation sound rather like an American tele-evangelist's appeal. The Greek phrase 'charin anti charitos' is literally 'a grace in place of a grace'. Because the law, rightly understood along with its prophetic function, was grace through the divine law. The greater grace that came by Christ replaced the grace of law.

So Calvin writes of the law and its sacrificial system: For what is more vain or absurd for men to offer a loathsome stench from the fat of cattle in order to reconcile themselves to God? Or to have recourse to the sprinkling of water and blood to cleanse away their filth? In short, the whole cultus of the law, taken literally and not as shadows and figures corresponding to the truth, will be utterly ridiculous. . . . God did not command sacrifices in order to busy his worshippers with earthly exercises. Rather he did so that he might lift their minds higher. 9

My disappointment in my work among pastors and preachers is to find that over 90% of them know little or nothing of the law and therefore understand little or nothing of how the law bears witness to Christ. I am indebted to a godly mother who would spend at least an hour every Sunday afternoon in concentrated Bible

Study with her children, taking us pains-takingly through the books of Moses and faithfully relating them to the NT and especially to the Epistle to the Hebrews. How much richer and fuller my own reading and appreciation of the grace of God in Christ has been on account of that early training. So do not neglect your study of the Books of Moses. Remember that on the Emmaus road, the Risen Christ began with Moses to expound to Cleopas and his companion those things concerning himself. How I long for the reality of such exposition to be heard in our churches.

3. Salvation is more than forgiveness

We have in English a great classic on the Christian life, The Pilgrim's Progress, by John Bunyan. In it, he depicts the sinner under deep conviction, dressed in rags and with a huge burden on his back, slowly toiling his way up the hill called Calvary. At the top of the hill, Pilgrim reaches a Cross and he stands and gazes on the One hanging there in shame and suffering. As he comes to the realisation that this Jesus is there for him, his burden is suddenly loosed from his back and rolls down into the empty tomb and he sees it no more. Pilgrim cries, 'Blest Cross, blest sepulchre, blest rather be the Man who there was put to shame for me.'

Forgiveness! What a glorious message we have to proclaim, that guilty sinners, weighed down with the burden of their guilt, can be washed and made clean; that through the death of Christ God forgives not only past sins, but present and even future sins, for the effects of the Cross are not just retrospective but also prospective. So many of our hymns exult in this cleansing of the blood of Christ.

There is a fountain filled with blood drawn from Emmanuel's veins, And sinners plunged beneath that flood lose all their guilty stains.

God's forgiveness is so remarkable that he does not even remember any longer the offences we have committed against him!¹⁰

But there is more to our salvation than forgiveness, glorious and wonderful though that forgiveness is. And when preachers and evangelists expound salvation and the Cross only in terms of forgiveness, they are missing out an important and strengthening aspect of the Gospel. They are neglecting the righteousness of God and that imputed righteousness from God. Nor is this merely a matter of semantics, a splitting of hairs, the pursuit of minutiae. Here is an aspect of our salvation which is surely one of the most immense comforts and encouragements that any child of God can ever be offered.

Think of it. God in his righteousness confers his righteousness upon guilty sinners. The omnipotent, almighty, faithful, ever blessed, eternal God, before whom the heavenly creatures veil their faces and fall down in adoration and worship, this God acts on our behalf and in perfect righteousness declares that those who have faith in his Son are also righteous. Our relationship with him is restored and our status in his presence is that of men and women who are not merely accepted, but are welcomed and embraced. As we stand before the holy God, surrounded by that sea of crystal, not a trace of sin or deceit or rebellion or pride or uncleanness is reflected in it. We have our place eternally as sons and daughters of our heavenly Father.

4. Through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe

There are many definitions of faith. As a young man, I listened to preachers trying to describe faith and I often found myself perplexed. Perhaps the most vivid memory I have in this connection is hearing Billy Graham, the American evangelist, describing faith in Christ as being like trusting your weight to a chair and sitting on it. Some years later, I came across Brunner's definition of faith which I found the most helpful I had come across to that point in my spiritual life. 11 However, I shall attempt a definition now which will also serve to make several

points I regard as important for preaching in our day. My definition of faith sees it

as consisting in three stages.

First, faith is an understanding of the truth. Recently I heard a radio broadcast service by a well-known British evangelical organisation. It was a wonderful opportunity to say something significant to the listening millions about the Cross of Christ and its meaning for sinners. But I was deeply disappointed in what I heard. As far as I can remember, the listeners were simply urged to say a prayer and promised they would find Jesus there to help and answer. Little or no truth of the Gospel, far less of Christ's death, was conveyed during the 40 minute broadcast. But yet, without some understanding of the Gospel, there cannot be any faith—at least not in the biblical sense of faith.

Let me illustrate this to you from the NT. In our first study, I pointed out that in Ephesians 4:17-24 Paul speaks about the condition of those outside of Christ. He then deals with the condition of those in Christ. I want you to notice how many words he uses which have a clear cognitive reference. He speaks in verse 17 about the futility of unbelievers' thinking. In verse 18, he goes on to say that they are darkened in their understanding and this is because of the ignorance that is in them. True, he covers more than the darkened state of their minds in those three verses, for he refers also to their hardness of heart, their separation from the life of God and the free rein which their passions have in rushing headlong further and further away from God. But we cannot fail to notice his clear references to darkened minds and ignorance of God.

In verses 20–21, the mood changes as he turns to those who are in Christ and he says this: 'You however did not come to know Christ that way. Surely you heard of him and were taught of him in accordance with the truth that is in Jesus.' Hearing implies listening to knowledge being imparted. Being 'taught' explicitly states that. What were they taught? 'The truth that is in Jesus.' Their faith had begun with knowledge of the

Gospel being imparted to them.

The verb 'know' in verse 20 refers primarily to coming to know with the mind. Its reference is to learning as a disciple, a pupil, because an indispensable prerequisite of entering into that relationship is

some knowledge of the truth.

The second stage of faith is submission to that truth. Recall how on the Damascus road, Saul of Tarsus was confronted by the risen Lord, who told him that he was finding it hard to kick against the goads. Saul knew much of the teaching of Christ and his death. The probability of his being a student in Jerusalem during Jesus' earthly ministry has been established.12 He could well have listened to Jesus and the debates of his fellow Pharisees about this Nazarene. But though he undoubtedly had some knowledge of the truth, he was refusing to submit to it. He was desperately resisting it. Now Christ confronts him and he asks, 'Who are you, Lord? 'Lord!'-that first admission of surrender to the imperious claims of Jesus Christ on his life. And then he obeys the instructions Christ gives him.

We have an English hymn which goes like this as it speaks eloquently of this

surrender of faith:

Make me a captive Lord, and then I shall be free;

Force me to render up my sword, and I shall conqueror be.

I sink in life's alarms when by myself I stand;

Imprison me within Thine arms, and strong shall be my hand. 13

The third stage of faith is trust. And by trust we mean a throwing of ourselves upon the mercy and compassion of God in Christ. We cast away every crutch on which we have leaned in our spiritual lameness and we rest all our weight on Christ alone. Again, we have so many hymns which express this so clearly:

Other refuge have I none; hangs my helpless soul on Thee; Leave, ah! leave me not alone; still support and comfort me. All my trust on Thee is stayed; all my help from Thee I bring; Cover my defenceless head with the shadow of Thy wing. 14

Of course, all three stages of faith are initiated and inspired by the Holy Spirit of God. It cannot be otherwise. Until he works within us, we are dead to God, resentful of his claims and even enemies and hostile towards him. The Spirit must therefore do his creative work in us, and it is a creative work as David says in Psalm 51:10, 'Create ("bara", ex nihilo) in me a pure heart, O God and renew a steadfast spirit within me.'

Those who win souls are wise. Alas, it seems not many of us have that wisdom. But surely the wisdom to win souls for Christ must come from some understanding of faith and the necessary components of faith which God asks us to establish in people as we co-operate with the Spirit in his work of grace in their lives.

5. Sin and the divine glory

We come to the final verse we consider this morning. It's a verse I learned as a child (along with Romans 6.23). 'For all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God.' There are two points we should notice about these words.

The first is that Paul uses the aorist when he says, 'all have sinned', clearly meaning that our sinful actions are in the past and are in a sense complete in earning us that awful verdict of guilty! However, his next verb is in the present tense and should be read with the sense, 'we are falling short of the glory of God', or 'we continue to fall short of the glory of God'.

The second point to notice here is the intriguing connection the apostle makes between our sin and the divine glory. As far as I can ascertain, such a connection is not made anywhere else in the NT. I have found at least eight suggestions in various commentators as to precisely what Paul here means by God's glory. Leon Morris writes: 'Commentators tend to read their own meaning into the passage.' That being so, I am tempted to be so bold as to offer yet another suggestion as to why Paul should make this

connection between our sin and the divine

glory.

Of course it is self-evident that since God is of purer eyes than to look on sin, we fall short of his radiant glory. That goes without saying. But remember that the apostle's great theme is the righteousness of God and that he is going to show how the righteous God has acted decisively to confer righteousness on guilty sinners through the Cross of Christ. The language he is about to use is the language of sacrifice and is pointing us unerringly to the Cross.

How did our Lord describe his shame and degradation when he was to hang in anguish in his darkest hour on that Cross? To our amazement and wonder, he described it as his glory! It was the moment when God would glorify him. At the last supper, as Judas took the bread and went out into the night, what did the Lord Jesus say? 'Now is the Son of Man glorified and God is glorified in him' (Jn. 13:31). 16 What is this we have, that glory shines from the blackness and horror of the Cross of Christ—to the Jews a stumbling-block and to the Gentiles foolishness? Surely the glory is that God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself, the righteous God acting in righteousness, providing a righteousness for the unrighteous. The divine glory is that in his righteousness he justifies the wicked!17

And so with yet another of our hymn writers, we sing,

And can it be that I should gain an interest in the Saviour's blood?

Died He for me who caused His pain—for me, who Him to death pursued?

Amazing love! How can it be that Thou my God shouldst die for me?

Notes

 On the temporal force of 'νυνιδε', see C. E. B. Cranfield, Romans, ICC, T&T Clark, Edinburgh, 1975, p. 199.

2 Many commentators take δικαιοσυνη θεου here as referring only to the righteousness which God confers on sinners through the death of Christ. So Luther (following Augustine), Robert Haldane, Wm. Hendricksen, D. M. Lloyd-Jones, Leon Morris, John Murray, et al. Understanding the phrase both as an essential attribute of God and, consequent upon that attribute, as the standing he confers through the death of Christ are Barth, C. K. Barrett, F. F. Bruce, Sanday & Headlam, et al. Note however that C. K. Barrett understands the righteousness which God confers upon sinners as essentially eschatological, Comm. in loc.

3 E. P. Sanders, Paul and Palestinian Judaism, SCM, London, 1977, p. 544. See also, Paul, the Law and the Jewish People, SCM, London, 1985. Also, N. T. Wright, The Climax of the Covenant: Christ and the Law in Pauline Theology, T&T Clark,

Edinburgh, 1991.

4 Leon Morris argues 'righteousness' is essentially a forensic term: The Apostolic Teaching of the Cross, Tyndale Press, London, 1955, ch. 7; see also The Epistle to the Romans, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids, 1988, Additional Note A, p. 100ff. For examples of those who hold 'righteousness' to be essentially (though not exclusively) a relational term, see: David Hill, Greek Words and Hebrew Meanings, CUP, 1967, p. 83; Alister McGrath, Justification by Faith, Marshall Pickering, Basingstoke, 1988, p. 24, and also his lustitia Dei, CUP, 1986, Vol. 1, pp. 1–36ff.

5 John Murray, The Epistle to the Romans, Marshall, Morgan & Scott, London, 1967, p. 110.

6 Calvin, *Institutes*, 2.7.6–12.

7 Rom. 10:4

8 Heb. 8:5, cf. Exod. 25:40.

9 Idem, 2.7.1.

10 Jer. 31:34.

- 11 Emil Brunner, *Dogmatics*, Vol. III, pp. 162ff., etc.
- 12 See W. C. van Unnik, Tarsus or Jerusalem: The City of Paul's Youth, London 1962, quoted by J. Jeremias in The Expository Times, The Key to Pauline Theology, Vol. LXXVI No. 1, October 1964, p. 27.

13 George Matheson, 1842–1906.

14 Charles Wesley, 1707–88.

15 Idem, p. 177, Note 111.

- 16 See also, Jn. 1:14; 11:4; 12:23, 28; 13:32; 17:1,24 etc.
- 17 Rom. 4:5.